Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,706	TASAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>		
(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.	(3)	
(2) Warren Cheek.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 February 2006	Time: <u>3:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Applicant/)	applicant's Representative Sig	inature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative confirmed that no response was filed to the restriction requirement mailed 8/18/05 and the application is abandoned. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the application is abandoned..